DOCKET FILE CUPY ORIGINAL

Original

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

MAR 2 5 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re Application of	CC Docket No. 94-11
Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.	File No. 10209-CL-P-715-B-88
For facilities in the Domestic Public Cellular Telecommunications Radio Service on Frequency Block B, in Market 715, Wisconsin 8 (Vernon), Rural Service Area))))

To: The Honorable Joseph Gonzalez Administrative Law Judge

REPLY TO OPPOSITIONS TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

Townes Telecommunications, Inc. (TTI), by its attorneys, hereby replies to the Common Carrier Bureau's March 23, 1994, and to Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.'s March 24, 1994 Opposition to Motion for Leave to Intervene. In support whereof, the following is respectfully submitted:

1) The Common Carrier Bureau writes that "TTI has specified no tangible interest in the outcome of the captioned proceeding."

Opposition, p. 1. TTI's March 15, 1994 Motion for Leave to Intervene states that

in various cellular markets in which TTI, has or will have ownership interests, TDS or its affiliated companies are in a position to acquire controlling interest. Thus, TTI has a direct and concrete interest in this proceeding and the Commission's decision in the captioned case could have a significant impact upon TTI." Motion, pp. 1-2.

No. of Copies rec'd Dist ABCDE

- 2) With all due respect to the Common Carrier Bureau, TTI plainly stated that a decision in the instant case could have a significant impact upon TTI in those markets in which TDS is positioned to acquire a controlling interest. Attached hereto is a copy of the Commission's August 20, 1993 Consent to Assignment of Common Carrier Radio Station Construction Permit or License (File No. 03750-CL-AL-93). TTI's subsidiary Electra Telephone Company is a one-third owner of the Assignor and will possess an ownership interest in assignee upon consummation. The assignment authorization indicates that the assignment grant is conditioned upon "any subsequent action the Commission may take concerning the issues raised in La Star." Thus, TTI's interest in the instant proceeding could not be more concrete and intervention is allowed pursuant to §1.223(a) of the Rules.
 - 3) The Common Carrier Bureau states that

TTI has not adequately demonstrated how its participation will assist in the determination of the issues in question. TTI states that it will be able to provide useful information on TDS's manner of conducting business. The designated issues,

The assignment application explains that the assignment is part of a much larger merger transaction calling for a "supersystem".

While the <u>Motion</u> did not make specific reference to §1.223(a), the facts permitting intervention pursuant to that section were pleaded. To the extent that the Common Carrier Bureau found our <u>Motion</u> lacking in specificity, we apologize. TDS, on the other hand, had full knowledge of the conditional "<u>La Star</u>" language contained in the grant under File No. 03750-CL-AL-93, as well as knowledge of TTI's subsidiary's ownership interest in that market. In light of those facts, TDS's assertion that TTI has no cognizable interest in the outcome of the instant proceeding is both easily dismissed yet troubling.

however, relate only to the conduct of a TDS subsidiary in the <u>La Star</u> proceeding. <u>Opposition</u>, p. 2.

4) The Common Carrier Bureau takes a somewhat narrow view of the case. The Common Carrier Bureau does not indicate that TDS has advised it as to which of a myriad of defensive strategies TDS could employ at the hearing. For instance, one defensive strategy would be to argue that a certain business practices occurred in the New Orleans market and that those business practices are standard ones utilized in other markets.

5) No one is able to predict the direction which the hearing will take. Depending upon what transpires at the hearing, TTI may have invaluable information to provide. If leave to intervene is not granted to TTI, the Commission may not have all relevant information available to it. Therefore, TTI's Motion is also grantable pursuant to §1.223(b) of the Rules.

Respectfully submitted, TOWNES TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Hill & Welch Suite #113 1330 New Hampshire Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 775-0070 March 25, 1994

Its Attorney

Even if it turns out that TTI is not able to provide any relevant information, we do not see how grant of TTI's Motion would unreasonably delay the proceeding. Thus, the grant of the Motion would not cause any harm and may yield some useful information.

File No: 03780-CL-AL-83

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20664

CONSENT TO ASSIGNMENT OF COMMON CARRIER RADIO STATION CONSTRUCTION PERMIT OR LICENSE

TEXAS RSA NO. 5 (NORTH) LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

C/O USCC,8410 WEST BRYN MAWR

CHICAGO

60631

0658

Te (Assignes):

TEXAHOMA CELLULAR LIMITED PARTNERSH

C/O USCC,8410 WEST BRYN MAWR

MAR 2 5 1994

CHICAGO

60631

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Class of Station:

CELLULAR

DOMESTIC PUBLIC CELLULAR RADIO TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

In Various Locations in the State(s) of Authorization Number(s)

Cell Sign(s) KNKQ333

Mature of Service:

TEXAS 5 - HARDEMAN

We make no finding in these cases concerning the issues raised in footnote 3 of La Star Cellular Telephone Company, 7 FCC Rcd 3762 (1992). Therefore, these grants of transfers/ assignments are conditioned on any subsequent action the Commission may take concerning the issues raised in La Star.

louises Act of 1934, the consent of the Pederal Communications Commission is hereby greated to the rigament of the above described authorization from the above named assigner to the above named assigner.

The Commission's consent to mid assignment is based on the representations made by the assigner and/or assignee that the statements contained

or made in connection with, the application are tree and that the undertakings of the parties upon which this assignment is authorized will be

iding delivery of said authorization(s) to the assigner, she'll be completed within 45 days n the date herof; and notice in letter form theref shell forthwith be formitted the Commission by the emigrace showing when the acts neces at have been completed. Types furnishing the Commission with such written notice, assignee is sutherized to begin the vetica or operation of the station in accor no bee sured the skill one ditions of said authorization(s). This consent shall not suthorize the traction nor operation of said station by assignme unless such notification has been forwarded to the Commission.

h is hereby directed that this or mission's Rules and Regulations. est, when effective, he attached to the above-described authorization(s), posted as required by the

GRANT DATE:

July 36, 1993

DATE OF ISSUE

August 20, 1983

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 25th day of March, 1994 sent a copy of the foregoing Reply to Oppositions to Motion for Leave to Intervene by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

The Honorable Joseph Gonzalez*
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

Joseph P. Weber, Esq.*
Mobile Services Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

Herbert D. Miller, Esq. Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

L. Andrew Tolin, Esq.
Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer, & Quinn
1735 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Michael B. Barr, Esq. Hunton & Williams 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. #9000 Washington, D.C. 20006

Kenneth E. Hardman, Esq.
Moir & Hardman
2000 L Street, N.W. #512
Washington, D.C. 20036

Douglas B. McFadden, Esq. Donald J. Evans, Esq. McFadden, Evans & Sill 1627 Eye Street, N.W. #810 Washington, D.C. 20006

Timothy E. Welch